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## Highlights (1/5)

## Objectives and methodology

- The Gatineau Valley SADC and its partners, Services Québec and the Gatineau Valley SADC, retained BIP Recherche to conduct a survey of companies on its territory to gain a picture of the labour situation (job profiles, forecasts over the next three years, recruiting, training) and identify the issues confronting companies.
- Data was collected using a combined telephone-online method from November 28, 2022 to January 19, 2023. There were 233 respondents, the margin of error was $5.1 \%$ and the response rate was $42.3 \%$.


## Respondent profiles

- The breakdown of respondents per sector was as follows: $21 \%$ in the primary sector, $79 \%$ in the tertiary sector (including $24 \%$ in retailing, $12 \%$ in accommodation, restaurants and tourism, $14 \%$ in the public and para-public sectors and $29 \%$ in the other services).
- $34 \%$ of companies have less than 5 employees, and $13 \%$ have 20 and over. More than two-thirds of respondents either own or co-own their company.


## Job profiles

- The 233 companies surveyed have a total of 3.254 employees, which averages out to 14 per company, but the median is far lower (7). They break down as follows: $\mathbf{8 . 3 \%}$ full time ( $59 \%$ ), $\mathbf{2 . 9 \%}$ part time ( $21 \%$ ) and $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ seasonal ( $20 \%$ ). However, $42 \%$ have no part-time employees and $53 \%$ have no seasonal employees. The public and para-public sectors have a much higher average number of employees (28.3\%).
- Respondents employ slightly more men (54\%) than women (46\%), but the differences are much larger depending on the sector (men account for $81 \%$ of employees in the primary and secondary sectors and women $58 \%$ in the public and para-public sectors).


## Highlights (2/5)

## Job profiles (cont'd)

- The workforce is relatively older: 30\% of employees are less than $\mathbf{3 5}$ ( $12 \%$ less than 25 ) and $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ are $\mathbf{5 5}$ and over. Employees in the primary and retail sectors are younger on average, while workers in the secondary sector are older.
- Close to half of employees ( $45 \%$ ) have less than 5 years' seniority and $22 \%$ have 15 years and over.
- 52.8\% of jobs are low-skilled or unskilled, i.e., they do not require a diploma or just a general high school diploma, whereas $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ are highly qualified jobs requiring university training. More low-skilled or unskilled jobs are in the primary sector, accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector and in retailing. There is a greater proportion of highly skilled jobs in the public and para-public sectors.


## Job forecasts

- $75 \%, 68 \%$ and $67 \%$ of respondents able to respond will have at least one job vacancy in 2023, 2024 and 2025 respectively.
- The forecasted average number of job vacancies per company will be $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ in 2023, $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ in 2024 and $\mathbf{3}$ in 2025. A projection including respondents unable to respond (in other words all 233 respondents) shows that there will be 861,636 and 695 job vacancies for each of the three years for a grand total of 2,192 .
- From a qualification standpoint, the vacancies break down as follows: $11 \%$ highly qualified, $35 \%$ skilled or semi-skilled and $54 \%$ lowskilled or unskilled.


## Highlights (3/5)

## Recruiting

- In a context of labour shortages, the main actions that respondents have taken or would be willing to take include hiring people from Indigenous communities ( $72 \%$ ), retirees ( $71 \%$ ), immigrants or members of cultural communities ( $67 \%$ ) and those less qualified than the employers would like (57\%).
- Conversely, the least mentioned groups were workers with a criminal record (30\%) or with mental health issues ( $25 \%$ ).


## Training

- According to the companies surveyed, the training approach most preferred is on-the-job learning (80\%). Other approaches (recognition of acquired competencies, in-class training, online training, work-study were found suitable by about half of the respondents.
- There is a wide range of training requirements, but the top five most often cited are in:
- Occupational health and safety (56\%);
- Office automation, secretarial services and accounting (43\%);
- Management: administration, human resources management, communication, marketing, etc. (42\%);
- Technology and computers (32\%);
- Technical training in industrial trades (agri-food, manufacturing, construction sectors, storage, transport, etc.). (28\%).


## Highlights (4/5)

## Issues and challenges

- In the next few years, the most important issues or challenges confronting the companies surveyed are:
- employee recruiting ( $86 \%$ ) and retention ( $84 \%$ );
- Improving the image and attractiveness of the company and employee competency development ( $82 \%$ each);
- Reducing the environmental footprint (71\%);
- Cybersecurity (64\%);
- Supplier requirements and the implementation of digital technologies (57\% each);
- Innovation (products, processes, services, marketing) (55\%) and changing behaviours of workers (55\% each).
- To meet these challenges, respondents mentioned several types of needs for assistance or consulting support, mainly an employee training or development plan (54\%), assistance with promoting the company's image (employer marketing) (46\%), information on the latest human resources management trends (43\%), exchanges or networking with other companies to find out the action they have taken (42\%) and assistance with innovation (40\%).


## Cybersecurity

- $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ of respondents are aware of Quebec's Law $\mathbf{2 5}$ to come into force in September 2023 to modernize legislative provisions as regards the protection of personal information
- $53 \%$ believe that the risk of their falling victim to computer security incidents is very important or rather important (intrusions, hacking, ransom, etc.). However, only $9 \%$ were the target of computer security incidents in the past three years.
- Half of the companies implemented measures to strengthen the security of their information and management systems in the past three years. Two-thirds of them are planning on further strengthening these measures in the coming year.


## Highlights (5/5)

## Sustainable development and environmental management

- Overall, the respondents had a good understanding of the sustainable development concept. Three-quarters believe that the economic, social or environmental dimensions are included in this concept, while two-thirds believe that the three are included.
- Slightly less than two-thirds of the companies (64\%) have made commitments to reduce their environmental footprint, but these commitments only have quantifiable targets in $6 \%$ of the cases. Of those that have not made any commitments, one-third intend to do so in the next two years.
- Customers of only $27 \%$ of the respondents have demanded that the latter make a commitment to reduce their environmental footprint.
- To reduce their environmental footprint, the aspects deemed most important by respondents are waste management (average of $8.2 / 10)$, energy efficiency (7.6/10) and water management (7.5/10).


## Company management succession

- Slightly more than one-third of respondents (35\%) plan on giving up their ownership of the company in the next five years.
- Of those planning to leave, the planning process is not too far along: $49 \%$ have begun thinking about it and $25 \%$ have lined up a successor.


## Objectives and methodology



## Objectives and methodology(1/5)

## OBJECTIVES

The Gatineau Valley SADC- and its partners Services Québec and the Gatineau Valley RCM - retained BIP Research to survey companies on its territory. The purpose of this survey is to document an action plan on the area's requirements with respect to the current labour situation confronting companies and their upcoming needs over the next one to three years. It will also define companies' needs to better orient strategic actions to support entrepreneurs as they adjust their business model.

This survey is further to the one conducted four years ago in late 2018 which focused exclusively on human resources, but this time, the survey examines other themes in addition to the labour situation. The following is a list of the specific objectives.:

- Prepare a profile of the jobs in companies operating on the territory: number of employees according to status, breakdown of jobs according to gender, age, seniority and level of qualification, etc.
- Make job forecasts over the next three years (2023 to 2025).
- Identify recruiting actions taken by companies to deal with the labour shortage.
- Determine training requirements.
- Identify the main issues and challenges confronting companies.
- Delve more deeply into the cybersecurity problem.
- Examine in greater detail the problems of sustainable development and environmental management.
- Gain an idea of what business owners have been doing in terms of succession (owner profile, projected departure, stage they ae at in their succession planning).


## Objectives and methodology(2/5)

## TARGETED COMPANIES AND SAMPLE

- Companies located on the territory of the Gatineau Valley RCM.
- They have at least one salaried employee, whether full time, part time or seasonal.

We sought to have either the owner or the general manager respond to the survey. If neither was available, we went with another manager such as the human resources or the production/operations manager.
We wish to point out that the data from companies with establishments or outlets outside the Gatineau Valley RCM is excluded from this survey.

The sample was developed using two sources - the data base used during the 2018 survey and a list purchased from Data Axle Canada (previously Info Canada). The total sample includes 1.408 names of companies that are theoretically established in the Gatineau Valley RCM, once duplications between both data bases and within each base have been eliminated.

## QUESTIONNAIRE

The questionnaire includes 80 variables and was designed jointly by SADC and its partners along with BIP Recherche.
If the person responding to the survey was not the owner of the business, the section on business succession (six variables) did not apply.
The questionnaire appears in the index.

## Objectives and methodology(3/5)

## DATA COLLECTION METHOD

## Data was collected at three different times:

- Shortly before collection began, the partners promoted the survey with companies on the territory to encourage them to respond.
- BIP Recherche used a combined telephone-online method. All respondents were first contacted by telephone in either French or English. Once the introduction was read and the objectives explained, eligible respondents agreeing to participate could choose between responding by phone or online. We sent those who choose to respond online an invitation email with a link providing them access to the survey.
- Those opting for the online method were phoned or emailed (if we could not reach them by phone) one week after the link was sent out from those who did not complete the questionnaire and send it in. If needed, four telephone reminders were used.
The questionnaire was available in French and in English as per the respondent's preference. Completing the questionnaire took about 20 minutes.

The questionnaire was piloted with about ten or so companies on November 28, 2022. No changes were made to the questionnaire following the pretest. Data collection per se ran from November 30, 2022 to January 19, 2023 with a two-week pause during the Christmas season.

## Objectives and methodology(4/5)

## SAMPLE AND PARTICIPATION RATE

Data was collected from 233 respondents, 135 by phone (58\%) and 98 online (42\%).
The data base from which data was collected was of poor quality. Of the 1.408 names, 790 ( $56 \%$ ) were invalid, outside the sample or ineligible. The actual population consisted of 618 names.

The response rate was $42.3 \%$, a high figure for a company survey. By comparison, the corresponding figure for the 2018 survey was $35 \%$.

The maximum margin of error for a sample of that size for a finite population is $\pm 5.1 \%, 19$ times out of 20 .

## (Translation of the French below appears on the notes page)

(1) Pour qu'un numéro puisse être considéré commepas de réponse, il doit correspondre à un numéro qui a toujours été sans réponse tout au long de la collecte de données. Ainsi, par exemple un rendez-vous non complété pour lequel il n'y a pas de réponse au moment du rappel doit être considéré commerendez-vous non complété et non pas comme pas de réponse.
(2) Présenté selon les normes de l'ARIM.

(3) TAUX D'ADMISSIBILITÉ : | $\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E}$ | 470 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{E}$ |

## Generated numbers

A. Invalid numbers

Out of service/no telephone contact
Residential
Fax / Modem
B. Numbers outside the sample/ineligible

Company closed
Outside the sector: not on the Gatineau Valley RCM
No salaried employee (self-employed worker)
C. Numbers in the sample where eligibility could not be established

No answer/voicemail
(1)

Refused before eligibility could be established
D. Eligible numbers in the sample where the interview was not completed

## Prolonged absence

Incomplete questionnaires (refused to complete)
Appointment set but interview not completed
(respondent absent) or appointment set for
after the collection period ended
Web link sent and questionnaire not completed
Refused after eligibility was established


| E. Completed interviews |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Response rate calculation ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{E} \times\left(\mathrm{TA}^{(3)}\right)+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E}$ |
|  |  |  |

## Objectives and methodology(5/5)

## SEGMENTATION VARIABLES

The findings were analyzed using several segmentation variables (see table below). They were used to perform a bivariate statistical analysis where dependent variables were crossed with independent or segmentation variables, a process intended to identify whether there were statistically significant differences per respondent sub-group.

|  | The entire questionnaire, except the section on the company's succession. | Section: within the purview of company management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segmentation variables | NCIAS activity sector | NCIAS activity sector |
|  | Total number of employees (less than 5; 5 to 14; 15 and over) | Total number of employees (less than 5; 5 to 14; 15 and over) |
|  | Establishment according to gender: mostly women(> 60\%); parity (40\% to 60\%); mostly men (>60\%) | Gender of business owner (man; woman) |
|  | Establishment according to age: overrepresented by 25 and under ( $25 \%$ and over); overrepresentation under 55 and over ( $25 \%$ and over) | Owner's age (<45 yrs; 45-54 yrs; 55-64 yrs; 65 and over) |
|  | Establishment according to qualification: mostly skilled jobs (highly and semi) (60\% and over); mostly low/unskilled jobs (60\% and over); pretty much the same (40\% to 59\%) | Business owner's education (high school or less, college, university) |
|  | Business owner (yes, no) | Number of years as owner (<5 yrs; 5-9 yrs; 10-19 yrs; 20 yrs and over) |
|  | Commitments to reduce environmental footprint (yes, no) |  |

## FINDINGS

Figures/symbols in colour and items in shaded bubbles $\square$ indicate significantly different findings (lower in red, upper in green), from a statistical standpoint than those noted from other respondents (according to a confidence interval of $95 \%$ or over).

The total may not match the sum of the parts (e.g., $99 \%$ or $101 \%$ ) due to rounding or to a no answer. The total can even far exceed $100 \%$ for questions where several options were possible.

1. Respondent profiles

## Activity sector

$\left.\begin{array}{l|c|c|c|}\hline & \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { Businesses }\end{array}\right)$ SECTORIAL GROUPS

The primary and secondary sectors account for $21 \%$ of the respondents.
$79 \%$ of the respondents work in the tertiary sector, including 18\% in retailing, $12 \%$ in accommodation, restaurants and tourism, $14 \%$ in the public and para-public sectors (healthsocial services and education) and 29\% in other tertiary sector services.

Tertiary sector

79 \%

Size

|  | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: |
| companies |  |

One-third of businesses are micro businesses (fewer than 5 employees), $36 \%$ have between 5 and 14 employees and only $4 \%$ have 50 employees and over.

## Ownership

|  | TOTAL <br> companies |
| :--- | :---: |
| OWNER OR CO-OWNER OF THE <br> BUSINESS | $(n=233)$ |
| Yes | $63 \%$ |
| No | $37 \%$ |

Close to two-thirds of respondents own or co-own the business.

## 2. Job profiles

## Number of employees (1/2)

The 233 companies surveyed have a total of 3.254 employees. The companies, on average, have 14 employees, including 8.3 full-time ( $59 \%$ ), 2.9 part time ( $21 \%$ ) and 2.8 seasonal ( $20 \%$ ). More than four out of ten have no part-time employees ( $42 \%$ ) and more than half do not have any seasonal employees (53\%). These averages are pulled up by respondents with 25 employees and over. As a result, the median is a more representative indicator of the situation when determining the total number of employees. The median is 7 .

In comparison with the 2018 survey, the number of full-time employees is slightly higher, and the numbers of part-time and seasonal employees are lower.

|  | TOTAL | Full time | Part time | Seasonal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(n=233)$ | $(n=233)$ | $(n=233)$ | $(n=233)$ |
| Number of employees |  |  |  |  |
| None | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| 1 to 4 | $34 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 5 to 14 | $36 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| 14 to 24 | $17 \%$ | $10 \%$ |  |  |
| 25 to 49 | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 50 and over | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ |  |  |
| AVERAGE NUMBER OF <br> EMPLOYEES | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 8.3 | $2.9 \downarrow$ | $2.8 \downarrow$ |
| Average number of employees 2018 | 14.9 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| MEDIAN | $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ | 4.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Total number of employees <br> Average calculations include zeros. | $\mathbf{3 2 5 4}$ | 1936 | 675 | 643 |

```
TOTAL - highest average number:
- Public and para-public sectors (28.3)
- Non-business owner(21.5)
Environmental commitments (16.0)
```

```
Full time - highest average number:
- Public and para-public sectors (18.4)
- Non-business owner (13.3)
```

4

```
PART TIME - highest average number:
```

- Public and para-public sectors (7.0)
- Non-business owner(4.2)
- Environmental commitments (3.7)


## SEASONAL - Highest average number:

- Primary sector (4.9)
- Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (8.4) - >60 \% low-skilled or unskilled employees(4.1)

Non-business owner (4.0)
Environmental commitments (3.5)

## Number of employees(2/2)

Number of employees based on the main activity sectors

| Number of employees | ALL SECTORS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRIMARY } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { SECONDARY } \\ & \text { SECTORS } \end{aligned}$ | Primary sector | Secondary sector | TOTAL TERTIARY SECTOR | Retail | Accommodation, restaurants and tourism | Public and para-public sectors | Tertiary sector - other services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{n}=233$ ) | ( $n=48$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=18$ ) | ( $n=30$ ) | ( $n=185$ ) | ( $n=56$ ) | ( $n=28$ ) | ( $n=32$ ) | ( $n=69$ ) |
| Full time - average number | 8.3 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 6.8 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 6.4 | 18.4 | 5.3 |
| Part time - average number | 2.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 7.0 | 1.2 |
| Seasonal - average number | 2.8 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 8.4 | 3.0 | 1.3 |
| TOTAL - average number | 14.0 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 15.0 | 15.1 | 17.3 | 28.3 | 7.8 |
| TOTAL - total number | 3254 | 480 | 175 | 305 | 2774 | 846 | 484 | 907 | 537 |
| Distribution of no. of employees | 100\% | 14.8\% | 5.4\% | 9.4\% | 85.2\% | 26.0\% | 14.9\% | 27.9\% | 16.5\% |

The average number of employees is significantly higher in companies in the public and para-public sectors (full time, part time and total) and for seasonal employees in companies in the accommodation, restaurants and tourism sector and the primary sector (which consists mainly of farming companies).
It is significantly lower in the other services in the tertiary sector (full time, part time, seasonal and total), in the primary sector (full time, part time and total), in the secondary sector (part time only) and retailing (seasonal only).

## Number of positions

The companies that responded have an average of 8.6 employees, an average that is pulled up by respondents with 20 positions and over. As a result, the median is a more representative indicator of the situation to determine the total number of positions. The median, in this instance, is 4.0. With respect to the data on the number of employees (page 17), it is noted that the number of employees is 1.63 times greater than the number of positions.

The average number of positions is significantly higher in companies in the public and para-public sectors and the accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector. It is lower in companies in the primary sector and in other tertiary sector services.

|  | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | $(n=233)$ |
| Number of positions |  |
| 1 or 2 | $28 \%$ |
| 3 to 5 | $32 \%$ |
| 6 to 9 | $18 \%$ |
| 10 to 19 | $15 \%$ |
| 20 and over | $8 \%$ |
| AVERAGE NUMBER OF POSITIONS | $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ |
| MEDIAN | $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ |

[^0]
## Distribution of employees according to gender (1/2)

On average, the respondents employ slightly more men (54\%) than women (46\%). The distributions in the 2018 survey were exactly the same.

However, there were significant variations in the gender-based distribution depending on the business category (see the bullets in the lower right and the graph on the following page). For example, the proportion of men in the primary and secondary sectors is quite high at $81 \%$. Conversely, women are a majority in the tertiary sector in general ( $53 \%$ ), particularly in the public and para-public sectors (58\%), accommodation, restaurants and tourism (55\%) and in retailing (55\%).

|  | Men | Women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(n=233)$ | $(n=233)$ |
| Proportion of employees |  |  |
| 0\% to 9\% | $12 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| 10\% to 49\% | $22 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| 50\% to 89\% | $47 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 90 to 100\% | $18 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| AVERAGE DISTRIBUTION | $\mathbf{5 4 . 0} \%$ | $46.0 \%$ |
| Average distribution in 2018 | $54.3 \%$ | $45.7 \%$ |

> MEN - highest proportion:
> - Primary and secondary sectors (81.3\%)
> - Company owners (59.7\%)

```
WOMEN - highest proportion:
    - Tertiary sector (52.9%)
    Public and para-public sectors (57.7%)
    - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism
    (55.3%)
- Retailing (55.3%)
    - Non-business owner (55.6%)
```


## Distribution of employees according to gender(2/2)

Average distribution of employees based on gender in the main activity sectors


[^1]
## Distribution of employees according to age (1/2)

Data on employee ages show that the respondents' workers are somewhat older. Seven in ten ( $70 \%$ ) are 35 and over, and close to one-third (30\%) are 55 and over. Only $12 \%$ are under 25 , and $45 \%$ of companies employ no one under 25 . Any variations with the 2018 survey are minimal and insignificant.
The proportion of young employees (less than 25) exceeds the average in the primary sector and lower than the average in the other tertiary sector services. The proportion of employees in the public and para-public sectors is above average in the $35-54$ segment.
The proportion of older employees (55+) is higher than the average in other tertiary sector services, microbusinesses (1-4 employees) and in those where the owner was the respondent. It is below the average in the public and para-public sectors.

|  | Less than <br> 25 | $25-34$ <br> yrs | $35-54$ <br> yrs | 55 and over |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(n=233)$ | $(n=233)$ | $(n=233)$ | $(n=233)$ |
| Proportion of employees |  |  |  |  |
| 0\% | $45 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| 1\% to 49\% | $50 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| 50\% and over | $5 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| AVERAGE DISTRIBUTION | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 2 \%}$ |
| Average distribution in 2018 | $11.7 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $39.9 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ |



## Distribution of employees according to age(2/2)

Average distribution of employees according to the main activity sectors


[^2]
## Distribution of employees according to seniority (1/2)

Data on employee seniority shows that close to half (45\%) have less than 5 years' seniority and $20 \%$ between 5 and 9 years. Slightly more than one in five employees has at least 15 years' seniority. Since the 2018 survey, seniority has decreased significantly: those with less than 5 years are up by 5.9 percentage points and those with 15 years and over are down $4.5 \%$. This could reflect greater labour mobility in a context of full employment and shortages.

The proportion of employees with less than 5 years' experience is higher than the average in retailing and in companies with 5 to 14 employees. The public and para-public sectors and companies with 15 employees and over account for a more significant proportion of employees with between 10 and 14 years of seniority.

The proportion of employees with at least 15 years' seniority is highest in the primary sector and in other services in the tertiary sector, in microcompanies ( 1 to 4 employees) and among those where the owner was the respondent.

|  | Less than 5 <br> years | $5-9$ <br> yrs | $10-14$ <br> yrs | 15 yrs and <br> over |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(n=233)$ | $(n=233)$ | $(n=233)$ | $(n=233)$ |
| Proportion of employees |  |  |  |  |
| 0\% | $13 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| 1\% to 49\% | $38 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| 50\% and over | $49 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| AVERAGE DISTRIBUTION | $\mathbf{4 5 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5 \%}$ |
| Average distribution 2018 | $39.6 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ |

Don't know answers (2\%) are excluded from the calculations.

[^3][^4]
## LESS THAN 5 YEARS - highest proportion: Retailing (50.8\%) <br> - 5-14 employees (51.8\%)

## 5-9 YRS - highest proportion: None

10-14 YRS - highest proportion:

- Public and para-public sectors (19.5\%)
- 15 employees and over (16.8\%)


## Distribution of employees according to seniority (2/2)

Average distribution of employees according to the main activity sectors


## Breakdown of employees according to job qualification level (1/2)

More than half ( $52.8 \%$ ) of employees in the companies surveyed hold low-skilled or unskilled jobs, which require no diploma or only a general high school diploma. Slightly more than one-third ( $35.2 \%$ ) occupy skilled or semi-skilled jobs, requiring a technical college diploma (DEC) or a DVS. Highly qualified jobs requiring university training only represent $12 \%$ of the total. In fact, $56 \%$ of companies surveyed have no highly qualified jobs, and $59 \%$ have at least half of their jobs that are filled by low-skilled or unskilled labour. In comparison with the 2018 survey, the proportion of low-skilled or unskilled jobs has edged up (+2.8 points) at the expense of highly qualified and qualified or semi-qualified jobs.

|  | Very <br> skilled jobs | Skilled or semiskilled jobs | Low-skilled <br> or unskilled jobs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $n=233$ ) | ( $n=233$ ) | ( $n=233$ ) |
| Proportion of employees |  |  |  |
| 0\% | 56\% | 30\% | 22\% |
| 1\% to 49\% | 32\% | 34\% | 19\% |
| 50\% and over | 11\% | 36\% | 59\% |
| AVERAGE DISTRIBUTION | 12.0\% | 35.2\% | 52.8\% |
| Average distribution 2018 | 13.0\% | 37.0\% | 50.0\% |

Don't know answers (1\%) are excluded from the calculations.
Highly qualified jobs: generally require university training: managers, management level employees and professionals.
Skilled or semi-skilled jobs: require technical training at the college level (technical DEC) or high school-level vocational (DVS).
Low-skilled or unskilled jobs: require a general high school diploma or no diploma.

```
HIGHLY QUALIFIED-highest proportion:
    - Public and para-public sectors (22.9%)
    - Tertiary sector, other (17.9%)
    >60 % female employees (20.3%)
    - Non-business owner (17.6%)
```

    SKILLED-SEMI-SKILLED - highest proportion:
    -     - Secondary sector ( $43.8 \%$ )
Public and para-public sectors (46.1\%)
        - Tertiary sector, other (44.1\%)

[^5]
## Breakdown of employees according to job qualification level (2/2)

Average distribution of employees by qualification level according to the main activity sectors


[^6]Base : All respondents ( $n=233$ ).
The proportion of highly qualified jobs exceeds the average in the public and para-public sectors and the other tertiary sector services

At the other end, there are few low-skilled or unskilled jobs in the primary sector, accommodation, restaurant and tourism and retailing sectors.
Skilled or semi-skilled jobs are proportionately more present in the secondary, public and para-public and other tertiary sector services sectors.
3. Job forecasts

## Number of jobs to fill (1/2)

Over the next three years, a rather strong majority of respondents - $75 \%$ in 2023, $68 \%$ in 2024 and $67 \%$ in 2025 - will have at least one job vacancy to fill due to job creation or to replacements for retiring employees. Note that the percentage of respondents who could not answer (DNK) has increased over the years: $12 \%$ for 2023,
$28 \%$ for 2024 and $37 \%$ for 2025.
Companies able to answer will have, on average, 3.7 (in 2023), 2.7 (in 2024) and 3.0 (in 2025) vacancies to fill. In absolute numbers, there will be 754,456 and 439 jobs over the next three years respectively, a grand total of 1,649.
If we project these figures to include respondents that could not answer (all 233 survey respondents), vacancies climb to 861,636 and 695 for each of the next three years, a grand total of 2,192 . When the number of jobs to fill is expressed as a ratio of the total number of employees in 2022 $(3,254)$, the figures are $26 \%$ in $2023,20 \%$ in 2024 and $21 \%$ in 2025 . This shows how important filling these vacancies really is.


Calculations of averages include zeros (no vacancies)

## Number of jobs to fill (2/2)

| Number of jobs to fill | ALL SECTORS | PRIMARY AND SECONDARY SECTORS | Primary sector | Secondary sector | TOTAL TERTIARY SECTOR | Retailing | Accommodation, restaurants and tourism | Public and para-public sectors | Tertiary sector, other services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ excluding DNK: 2023/2024/2025 | 204/167/147 | 42/36/34 | 17/14/14 | 25/22/20 | 162/131/113 | 44/31/28 | 27/24/22 | 28/21/17 | 63/55/46 |
| 2023 Average number per respondent | 3.7 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 9.9 | 4.5 | 1.4 |
| Total number (excluding DNK) | 754 | 118 | 60 | 58 | 636 | 151 | 268 | 127 | 90 |
| Projection, all respondents | 861 | 135 | 64 | 71 | 726 | 196 | 282 | 148 | 100 |
| 2024 Average number per respondent | 2.7 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| Total number (excluding DNK) | 456 | 99 | 56 | 43 | 357 | 95 | 130 | 48 | 84 |
| Projection, all respondents | 636 | 132 | 73 | 59 | 504 | 172 | 152 | 74 | 106 |
| 2025 Average number per respondent | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 1.2 |
| Total number (excluding DNK) | 439 | 107 | 55 | 52 | 332 | 92 | 114 | 69 | 57 |
| Projection, all respondents | 696 | 151 | 72 | 79 | 544 | 184 | 145 | 130 | 85 |
| 3 yrs Total number (excluding DNK) | 1649 | 324 | 171 | 153 | 1325 | 338 | 512 | 244 | 231 |
| Projection, all respondents | 2192 | 418 | 209 | 209 | 1774 | 552 | 579 | 352 | 291 |

The jobs to be filled in the next three years - 1,649 for respondents able to answer and 2,192 with projections including the DNKs - have been broken down by major activity sector in the above table. The primary and secondary sectors represent $20 \%$ of these jobs and the tertiary sector $80 \%$, further subdivided as follows: $25 \%$ for retailing, $26 \%$ for the accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector, $16 \%$ for the public and para-public sectors and $13 \%$ for other sectors.
Considering the average number of jobs to be filled by business, this number is significantly higher in the accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector (for the three years), in the primary sector (for 2024 only) and in the public and para-public sectors (for 2025 only). It is significantly lower in the other tertiary sector services (for the three years) and in the secondary sector (for 2023 only).
The significant variances shown on the preceding page show that the average number of jobs to be filled is significantly higher in companies with a majority of low-skilled or unskilled employees (for 2023-2024) and those that made commitments to reduce their environmental footprint (for the three years).

## Number of jobs to be filled based on job qualification level

Of the companies that have vacancies to be filled in 2023, 2024 or 2025, the proportion of highly qualified jobs will vary between $10 \%$ and $11 \%$, depending on the years, skilled or semi-skilled jobs will vary between $34 \%$ and $35 \%$ and low-skilled or unskilled jobs between $54 \%$ and $55 \%$. There is therefore no difference from one year to the next. As for the job qualification level in 2022, little difference was noted, save for a slight rise in the number of low-skilled or unskilled jobs.
The proportion of highly skilled jobs exceeds the average in the public and para-public sectors, in the other services in the tertiary sector, companies with 15 or more employees and also those with a majority of women where the person responding to the survey was not the owner. On the other end, there were more low-skilled or unskilled jobs in the primary sector, in accommodation, restaurants and tourism, retailing and in companies employing equal numbers of men and women.

|  | Current jobs 2022 | Vacancies to fill (respondents that have some) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
|  | ( $n=233$ ) | ( $n=153$ ) | ( $n=114$ ) | ( $n=147$ ) |
| Distribution of vacancies to fill based on qualification level |  |  |  |  |
| Highly skilled jobs | 12.0\% | 10.7\% | 11.4\% | 10.4\% |
| Skilled or semi-skilled jobs | 35.2\% | 35.0\% | 34.3\% | 34.9\% |
| Low-skilled or unskilled jobs | 52.8\% | 54.3\% | 54.2\% | 54.7\% |

Highly qualified jobs: generally require university training: managers, management level employees and professionals.
Skilled or semi-skilled jobs: require technical training at the college level (technical DEC) or high school-level vocational (DVS).
Low-skilled or unskilled jobs: require a general high school diploma or no diploma.

## 4. Recruiting

## Recruiting in a context of labour shortages (1/2)
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## Recruiting in a context of labour shortages (2/2)

An analysis of the significant variances for each of the ten strategies that respondents either turned to or intend to turn to in order to deal with the labour shortage shows that certain segments are proportionately more inclined to go with several strategies:

- Companies with 15 employees and over (positive variances for 9 of 10 strategies, except for workers with a criminal record).
- The accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector (9 out of 10, with the exception of handicapped workers). This may be explained by the fact that this sector was very severely affected by the pandemic and that several workers have left this field. As a result, it is more affected by the labour shortage and thus more open to various recruiting strategies.
- The public and para-public sectors (3 out of 10: the retiree, less qualified and mental health problem categories).
- Companies with a large proportion of workers under 25 (4 out of 10).

| Recruiting actions | Statistically significant variables |  | Recruiting actions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hiring of workers from | - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (89\%) | Sponsoring of students |  |
| Indigenous communities | -15 employees and over (83\%) |  |  |
| pursuing a specialized |  |  |  |
| education |  |  |  |

Statistically significant variables

- Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (64\%) - 15 employees and over (57\%)
- Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (64\%)
- 15 employees and over (54\%)
- As many men as women (55\%)
- 25\%+employees under 25 (55\%)
$60 \%+$ low-skilled or unskilled employees (54\%)
- Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (61\%) 15 employees and over (53\%)
- Secondary sector (47\%)
- Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (50\%)
- Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (43\%)
- Public and para-public sectors (38\%)

15 employees and over (39\%)
>60 \% female employees (37\%)
25\%+ employees under 25 (35\%)
$\left.[\text { [BIP }]_{37}\right]$

## Preferred training approaches

According to the respondents, the five training approaches submitted to them reportedly meet or relatively meet their needs and their employees' needs. On-the-job learning is by far the most suitable approach, having been mentioned by $80 \%$ (without any variance par respondent segment).
The other four approaches were cited either close to or slightly more than by half of the respondents. The recognition of acquired competencies ( $53 \%$ ) was mentioned more often by the secondary sector. In-class learning ( $53 \%$ ), online training ( $50 \%$ ) and a work-study program (48\%) were proportionately mentioned more often by the public and para-public sectors and by companies with 15 employees and over.


On-the-job training - most often mentioned: No variance

## RECOGNITION OF ACQUIRED COMPETENCIES: most mentioned

- Secondary sector (73\%)


## IN-CLASS TRAINING - most mentioned:

- Public and para-public sector s(75\%)

15 employees and over (64\%)

- $60 \%+$ highly skilled or semi-skilled employees (64\%)

ONLINE TRAINING - most mentioned:
Public and para-public sector $s(78 \%)$
15 employees and over (63\%)

- As many highly skilled as low-skilled/unskilled (66\%)

```
WORK-STUDY PROGRAM - most mentioned:
- Public and para-public sectors (66%)
    15 employees and over (64%)
```


## Training requirements (1/2)
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## Training requirements (2/2)

The companies surveyed have multiple training requirements. However, three main training areas cited most often were occupational health and safety ( $56 \%$ ), office automation, secretarial services, accounting ( $43 \%$ ) and management (administration, communication, marketing, human resources management, etc.) (42\%).

Another three training areas were cited between a quarter and a third of respondents - technology and computers (32\%), technical training relating to industrial trades (e.g., agri-food, manufacturing, construction, storage, transportation sectors) ( $28 \%$ ) and basic general training in-house (numeracy, literacy, problem solving, etc.). Only $8 \%$ said they did not have any training requirements.

## Statistically significant variances

The requirements for certain training areas relate directly to the activity sector: industrial trades (primary and secondary), health and education (public and para-public sectors), tourism, hotels and restaurants, commerce and services. Some training, though cross-training in and of itself, affects some sectors even more: occupational health and safety (secondary sector), computer technologies, management and office automation, secretarial services and accounting (public and para-public sectors), basic general training (retailing).

| Training requirements | Statistically significant variances |
| :--- | :--- |
| Occupational health and <br> safety | - Secondary sector (73\%) <br> -15 employees and over (67\%) <br> - Environmental commitments (62\%) |
| Office automation, secretarial <br> services, accounting | - Public and para-public sectors (59\%) <br> -15 employees and over (53\%) |
| Management | - Public and para-public sectors (66\%) |
| Technology and computers | - - Public and para-public sectors (44\%) |
| Industrial trades | - Primary sector (61\%) |
| Basic general training in- <br> house | - Secondary sector (67\%) <br> ( |


| Training requirements | Statistically significant variances |
| :---: | :---: |
| Language training | - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (36\%) |
| Tourism, hotels and restaurants | - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (82\%) <br> - As many male employees as female (35\%) <br> - $60 \%+$ low-skilled or unskilled employees (24\%) |
| Environment and sustainable development | - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (36\%) |
| Health and education | - Public and para-public sectors (50\%) <br> - 15 employees and over (29\%) <br> - >60 \% female employees (37\%) <br> - $60 \%+$ highly or semi-skilled employees (24\%) |
| Commerce and services | - Retailing (11\%) |
| No training needed | - $60 \%+$ low-skilled or unskilled employees (13\%) <br> - No environmental commitments (15\%) |

6. Issues and challenges

Issues and challenges in the next three years (1/4)
Total important


## Issues and challenges in the next three years $(2 / 4)$

Eighteen issues and challenges were submitted to respondents, who were asked to assess their importance over the next three years.
$\rightarrow$ Four issues and challenges were deemed important (very important and rather important combined) and received a rating of more than 80\%: recruiting of labour ( $86 \%$ ), employee retention ( $84 \%$ ), improvement of the image and attractiveness of the business ( $82 \%$ ) and employee competency development ( $82 \%$ ).

- Two more issues were rated important by two-thirds (give or take): reduction of the environmental footprint (71\%) and cybersecurity (64\%).

Six issues received a similar importance rating around 55\%: supplier requirements (57\%), implementation of digital technologies (57\%), product innovation, processes, services or marketing (55\%), changing worker behaviours (55\%), changing buyer or consumer behaviours (54\%) and reduction or reorganization of hours of operation or opening time due to a lack of available staff ( $54 \%$ ).

- Four issues were deemed important by a strong minority of respondents (more than $40 \%$ ): manager succession (48\%), competition from businesses (46\%), owner succession (43\%) and increase in online commerce or purchasing (42\%).
- Only two issues are important to a third or fewer respondents: raw material supplies, components or finished products (35\%) and development of markets outside the region (29\%).


## Issues and challenges in the next three years (3/4)

| Issues and challenges | Total of very and rather important <br> Statistically significant variances |
| :--- | :--- |
| Recruiting | -15 employees and over (97\%) |
| employee retention | - Public and para-public sectors (97\%) <br> -15 employees and over (93\%) <br> - Environmental commitments (88\%) |
| Improvement of the image or <br> attractiveness of the business | - Environmental commitments (86\%) |
| Employee competency <br> development | - Public and para-public sectors (100\%) |
| Environmental footprint | -15 employees and over (93\%) |
| reduction | - Environmental commitments (88\%) |


| Issues and challenges | Total of very and rather important Statistically significant variances |
| :---: | :---: |
| Changing employee behaviours | - Primary sector (67\%) <br> - Public and para-public sectors (65\%) <br> - 15 employees and over (65\%) |
| Changing buyer or consumer behaviour | - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (75\%) <br> - Retailing (76\%) |
| Reduction or reorganization of hours of operation or business hours due to staff shortages | - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (63\%) |
| Management succession | - 15 employees and over (68\%) <br> - Non-business owner(58\%) |
| Competition from businesses | - Retailing (68\%) <br> - $60 \%+$ low-skilled or unskilled employees(54\%) |
| Owner succession | - Primary sector (67\%) <br> - Business owner (52\%) |
| Increase of online commerce or purchasing | - Retailing (67\%) <br> - $60 \%+$ low-skilled or unskilled employees(49\%) |
| Supplies of raw materials, components or finished products | - Secondary sector (76\%) |
| Development of markets outside the region | - Primary sector (41\%) <br> - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (44\%) |

## Issues and challenges in the next three years (4/4)

## Statistically significant variances (table on the preceding page)

An analysis of significant variances highlights some segments of respondents are proportionately more inclined to consider some issues and challenges as important:

- Larger companies, i.e., 15 employees and over (positive variance on 5 issues): recruiting, employee retention, employee competency development, changing employee behaviour, management succession
- Retailing (5 issues): supplier requirements, digital technology implementation, changing buyer or consumer behaviour, competition from businesses and increase in online commerce or purchasing.
- Primary sector (5 issues): supplier requirements, innovation, changing buyer or consumer behaviour, owner succession, development of markets outside the region
- Secondary sector (3 issues): supplier requirements, innovation, supply of raw materials, components or finished products.
- The accommodation, restaurants and tourism (3 issues): changing buyer or consumer behaviour, reduction or reorganization of hours of operation or opening times, development of markets outside the region.
- Public and para-public sectors (3 issues): employee retention, employee competency development, changing buyer or consumer behaviour.
- Companies that made commitments to reduce their environmental footprint (5 issues): employee retention, improvement of the image/attractiveness of the company, employee competency development, reduction of the environmental footprint, cybersecurity.


## Needs or help to deal with the issues (1/3)



Q15. What major types of needs, assistance or consulting support would be necessary to help your business deal with these issues? (Several possible options)
Base : All respondents ( $n=233$ ).

## Needs or help to deal with the issues $(2 / 3)$

The respondents cited several types of assistance or consulting support needs to deal with the issues mentioned previously. The main ones appear hereunder.

- The most important was an employee training or development plan mentioned by $54 \%$.
- Four respondents in ten mentioned another four: help with promoting the image of the business (employer marketing) (46\%), information on new human resources management trends (43\%), exchanges or networking with other companies to find out what actions they have taken (42\%) and help with innovation (40\%).
- One-third or close to a third referred to five needs: information on market trends (customer behaviour, competition, demographics, lifestyles, etc.) ( $37 \%$ ), a manager/managerial level training or development plan (35\%), sharing of labour with other companies (part-time or seasonal positions) (35\%), and help with digital technology implementation (35\%) and with the implementation of a human resources management policy (30\%).


## Statistically significant variances (table on the following page)

An analysis of the significant variances does shed light on certain segments of respondents, particularly some activity sectors that are proportionately more inclined to express certain needs or forms of assistance:

- The accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector (positive variance for 7 needs/aid): help promoting the image of the business, exchanges/networking with other companies to find out what action they have taken, help with innovation, information on market trends, manager/managerial level training or development plan, sharing of labour with other companies, help with the implementation of a human resources management policy.
- The public and para-public sectors (3 needs/aid): employee training or development plan, information on new human resources management trends, managerial level training or development plan.
- Retailing (2 needs/aid): information on market trends, help with implementing online commerce.
- The primary sector ( 2 needs/aid): help with innovation, help with developing markets outside the region.

Companies with 15 employees and over ( 3 needs/aid): employee training or development plan, information on new human resources management trends, help with the implementation of a human resources management policy.

## Needs or help to deal with the issues (3/3)

| Needs, assistance or <br> consulting support | Statistically significant variances |
| :--- | :--- |
| Employee training or development <br> plan | - Public and para-public sectors (66\%) <br> $-15 ~ e m p l o y e e s ~ a n d ~ o v e r ~(67 \%) ~$ |
| Help promoting the image of the <br> business (employer marketing) | - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (64\%) |
| Information on new human <br> resources management trends | - Public and para-public sectors (69\%) <br> - 15 employees and over (66\%) |
| Exchanges/networking with other <br> businesses to find out what action <br> they have taken | - Environmental commitments (49\%) |
| - Environmental commitments (49\%) |  |


| Needs, assistance or <br> consulting support | Statistically significant variances |
| :--- | :--- |
| Management/managerial level <br> training and development plan | - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (43\%) <br> - Public and para-public sectors (47\%) <br> $-25 \%+$ employees under 25 (45\%) |
| Sharing of labour with other <br> businesses (part-time or seasonal <br> positions) | - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (61\%) |
| Help with the implementation of <br> digital technologies | - No variance |
| Help implementing a human <br> resources management policy | - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (39\%) <br> Business diagnosis |
| Help implementing online and para-public sectors (44\%) <br> commerce | - No variance |
| Help developing markets outside <br> the region | - Retailing (39\%) |

## 7. Cybersecurity

## Knowledge of Law 25

Slightly fewer than one-third of respondents are aware of Quebec's Law 25 that will come into force in September 2023 to modernize legislative provisions as regards the protection of personal information. This will require businesses to come up with a policy on the protection of personal information and cybersecurity.
Companies in the public or para-public sectors with 15 or more employees or that have committed to reducing their environmental footprint are among the segments more aware of the coming of Law 25 than the average. On the other end of the scale, businesses in the primary and secondary sectors and those that have not committed to reducing their environmental footprint are among the segments less aware of Law 25 than the average.
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## Evaluating the risk of computer security incidents

Slightly more than half of respondents (53\%) assess the risk of their business being the victim of computer security incidents (intrusions, hacking, ransom, etc.) as very high or rather high. Note that the former ( $23 \%$ ) is lower than the latter ( $30 \%$ ).
Few significant variances were noted. The risk is perceived as higher than average by respondents in the public and para-public sector and less than the average by respondents in the primary sector.


## Presence of computer security incidents and impacts

A mere $9 \%$ of respondents claim they fell victim to computer security incidents in the last three years, and none in the primary sector did.
For those who were victimized, the main impacts involve the theft of confidential data (32\%) and the shutdown of operations (27\%). Other effects such as lost income, infection, loss or restructuring of software affected close to one respondent in five.


[^9] Q19. What were the main impacts of these incidents? (Several possible options) Base: Respondents answering yes to Q18 ( $\mathrm{n}=22$ ).

52

## Measures to strengthen information and management systems (1/2)

More than half of the respondents ( $52 \%$ ) have implemented measures to strengthen the security of their information and management systems in the past three years. This percentage is higher in the retailing, public and para-public sectors and in companies with 15 or more employees that have made commitments to reduce their environmental footprint. The proportion is lower than average, particularly in the primary and secondary sectors and in microbusinesses (fewer than 5 employees).
Two-thirds of those who have taken these measures (65\%) plan on further strengthening them in the next year, especially businesses in the public and para-public sectors and companies with 15 or more employees. Only $20 \%$ of those that have not taken any measures plan on implementing them in the next year.


Those answering no or DNK: do
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## Measures to strengthen information and management systems (2/2)

Among the companies that have implemented or plan to implement measures to strengthen the security of their information and management systems, three measures stand out in particular, as they affect at least two-thirds of respondents: employee awareness and training (72\%, especially in the primary and the public and para-public sectors), a password manager ( $66 \%$, especially in retailing) and a backup copies ( $65 \%$, especially in the primary sector). More than half the respondents mentioned double identification of users.
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# 8. Sustainable development and environmental management 

## Understanding of the sustainable development concept (1/2)

The sustainable development concept, for the most part, is well understood by respondents, three-quarters of whom believe that the economic dimension is included in the concept ( $73 \%$ ). The same holds for the social dimension ( $71 \%$ ) and the environmental dimension ( $76 \%$ ). As a result, there is no notable difference in the result between the three dimensions.
For each of the three dimensions, four segments showed an above-average degree of understanding: accommodation, restaurants and tourism; and businesses with 15 employees and over which also made commitments to reduce their environmental footprint.

## Sustainable development includes:



## Understanding of the sustainable development concept (2/2)

The results for each of the dimensions (economic, social and environmental) were crossed over, which confirms a generally good understanding of what is meant by sustainable development: close to two-thirds of respondents ( $64 \%$ ) believe that the three dimensions are included in the sustainable development concept (where the three bubbles on the graph intersect).


A total of 10 respondents believe that two of the three dimensions are part of sustainable development (where two of the three bubbles of the graph intersect). Very few (5\%) believe that only one of the three dimensions is included in the sustainable development concept (portions of the bubbles where there is no intersection). Moreover, $19 \%$ have no understanding of sustainable development, because in their view, none of the three dimensions are a part of it.

Businesses in the accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector and those with at least 15 employees which have also made commitments to reduce their environmental footprint have the best understanding of sustainable development.


## Environmental footprint reduction commitments

In the past three years, close to two-thirds of respondents (64\%) have made commitments to reduce their environmental footprint. However, only $6 \%$ have committed to quantifiable targets, which is much lower than the remaining $58 \%$ that have not. These commitments are more prevalent in the primary sector, the accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector, the public and para-public sector and in business with at least 15 employees. The lowest figure is in the retail sector.

Slightly more than one-third (36\%) of companies that have not made any environmental commitments intend to do so in the next two years. And they are especially found in the same segments as those which have already made commitments (public and para-public sectors, companies with at least 15 employees that are aware of Law 25.


[^12]58

## Customer expectations regarding environmental footprint reduction

Just over one-quarter of respondents indicated that their customers are demanding that they take action to reduce their environmental footprint.

This is most often noted in the primary sector, the accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector and in businesses that made commitments to reduce their environmental footprint. Companies in the secondary sector and in other services in the tertiary sector ranked below average on this dimension.


## Importance of environmental footprint reduction(1/2)



## Importance of environmental footprint reduction (2/2)

On the issue of environmental footprint reduction, respondents were asked to assess the importance of five aspects on a scale of 1 (not at all important) to 10 (extremely important). The aspect deemed to be the most important was waste management (recycling and composting) with a rating of 8.2 out of $10,52 \%$ answered very important (ratings 9 and 10) and $82 \%$ somewhat or very important (ratings 7 to 10).
This was followed by two aspects deemed rather important, which finished in a virtual tie: energy efficiency (heating, air conditioning, insulation, lighting): average of $7.6,40 \%$ with ratings of 9 and 10 and $73 \%$ with 7 to 10 ; and water management (consumption reduction, wastewater management): average of $7.5-41 \%$ with ratings of 9 and 10 and $69 \%$ with 7 to 10 .
Two aspects were deemed of average importance: paperless office or zero paper: average of $6.6,24 \%$ with ratings of 9 and 10 and $59 \%$ with 7 to 10 and transportation (more energy efficient, hybrid or electric vehicles, circuit optimization, etc.) average of 295 with ratings of 9 and 10 and $50 \%$ with 7 and 10.

| Importance of some aspects of <br> environmental footprint reduction | Very important (ratings of 9 and 10) <br> Statistically significant variances |
| :--- | :--- |
| Waste management (recycling and <br> composting) | - Primary sector (72\%) <br> - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (64\%) |
| Energy efficiency (heating, air <br> conditioning, insulation, lighting) | - Secondary sector (47\%) <br> - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (54\%) <br> - Environmental commitments (45\%) |
| Water management (consumption <br> reduction, wastewater management) | - Primary sector (53\%) <br> - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (61\%) |
| Paperless office or zero paper | - Public and para-public sectors (34\%) |
| Transportation (more energy efficient, <br> hybrid or electric vehicles, circuit <br> optimization, etc.) | -15 employees and over (33\%) |

The significant variances show that some sectors believe some aspects are more important than the average:

- The accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector: waste management, energy efficiency and water management.
- The primary sector: waste management and water management.
- The secondary sector: energy efficiency.
- The public and para-public sectors: paperless office.


## Environmental footprint reduction priorities

When respondents are asked which of the five aspects mentioned are their two action priorities to reduce their environmental footprint in the next three years, waste management tops the list at $57 \%$, with higher percentages posted in the accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector, the public and para-public sectors and in companies with at least 15 employees.
Another two issues were mentioned fairly often by respondents: energy efficiency ( $42 \%$, more in the primary sector and in the accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector) and the paperless office ( $37 \%$, more in the public and para-public sectors).
The other two aspects were mentioned by only $20 \%$ of respondents in each case: water management (more in the primary sector and in the accommodation, restaurant and tourism sector) and transportation.

9. Company management succession

## Profiles of owners of the businesses responding to the survey



Two-thirds of the owners of business that responded are men.
 They are relatively older ( 52 years old on average) and $48 \%$ are 55 and over. Only $28 \%$ are under 45.
Half have high school or less, and $29 \%$ have a university education.

On average, they have owned their business for 16 years, and $44 \%$ at least 20 years.

Average age: 52
Median age: 50

## Education



Average: 16 years Median: 17 years

## Intention to step down as owner

Slightly more than one-third of the business owners who responded (35\%) plan to step down as owner within the next five years. This is 6 points higher than the corresponding figure in the 2018 survey.
It is totally logical for this proportion to be higher in the 55 and over segment and among those who have been owners for 20+ years. It is lower in the under 45 category and among those who have been owners for fewer than 10 years.


## Succession planning

The succession planning of those business owners planning to leave in the next five years has not gotten too far for the most part. Almost half ( $49 \%$ ) have not begun the process or have done very little along these lines. $12 \%$ have not thought about it, and $37 \%$ have began to reflect on it, nothing more.

On the other end of the scale, one in three respondents (33\%) say the process is well along or has been completed. $8 \%$ are well into the process of lining up a successor, and $25 \%$ have already found that person. The process is much further along for male respondents and those whose company is in the primary sector.


## Appendix: Questionnaire

## Questionnaire (1/6)

Enquête de main-d’œuure Vallée-de-la-Gatineau
Questionnaire

Bonjour, je me présente, $\qquad$ de BIP Recherche, firme spécialisée en études et sondages.

Demander ò parrier au propriétoire (en prioirité) ou ou directeur général
Nous réalisons une étude pour le compte de la SADC Vallée-de-la-Gatineau, de la MRC Vallée-de-la-Gatineau et de Services Québec portant sur les prévisions d'emplois aissi que les enjeux auxauels font face les
 pour permettre à à a SADC et è à ses partenaires de la MRC de bien cerner vos besoins afin d'arrimer leurs ations au cours des prochaines annés. Ces actions seront bénéfiques pour toute la communauté d'afffaires de la Vallée-de-la-Gatineau.
e sondere pred une quinzine de mintes à ripontreet il se complète plus facilement en ligne qưau éléphone. Préférez-vous le faire en ligne ou par téléphone?

Auparavant, je dois vérifier deux informations pour s'assurer que votre entreprise est admissible à répondr
0.1 Votre entreprise compte-telle des employés salariés, qứls soient à temps plein, à temps partiel ou salisonniers?
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Oui } & 1 \\ \text { Non } & 2\end{array}$ terminer
0.2 Êtes-vous bien situé sur le teritioire de la MRC de la vallée-de-la-Gatineau?

Oui
Non
terminer
II est important de préciser que le sondage s'applique uniquement à votre établissement situé sur le
 la MRC de la Vallée-de-la-Gatineau

II le répondant préfère en ligne, prendre en note son nom et son courrie. LLi envoyer le courriel d'ĭinvitation avec le lien permettont d'accéder au sondage

Identification de lentreprise
1a. Nom de Pentreprise:
1b. Nom du répondant
1c. Titre du poste occupé
1d. Êtes-vous propriétaire de 'entreprise?
Oui 1
1e. Courriel:
2. SECTEUR D'ACTIVTÉ (CODE SCIAN). Variable importée la base de données. si Pinformation est manquante, poser la question suivante
Dans quel secteur doactivité votre établissement se situe-till

La classification et le regroupement seront effectués à la fin de la collecte pour fins de traitement.
Les prochaines questions portent sur le PROFIL DES EMPLOYÉS dans votre entreprise.
3. En vous incluant, combien d'employés travaillent dans votre entreprise?

À temps plein
Atemps plentie
Atempos partien
Saicors diu
Saisonniers (durant votre haute saison, si applicable) doit sofficher automatiquement Si en ligne le rem
xa employés $x$ )
4. Combien y a-t-ill de postes dans votre entreprise? Généralement, le nombre de postes est inférieur au nombre d'employés, car plusieurs employés peuvent occuper un même poste. Nombre de postes

Pour les prochaines questions, nous vous demandons de répartir en pourcentage vos employés selon
certains criteres,
muen meilleur de vorte connaissance. veuiller éerire la situation lorsque vous êtes au maximum annuel de vos effectifs (par exemple, lorsque vous êtes en haute saison)
5. Veuillez repartir vos employés selon le genre

Hommes
Hommes - $\%$

## Questionnaire (2/6)

6. Veuillez répartir vos employés selon rồge

Moins de 25 ans
De 25 à 34 ans
De $35 \grave{a} 54$ ans

| De 35 à 54 a |
| :--- |
| 55 anset |

55 anset plus
Le total doít donner 100\%
7. Vevillez répartir vos employés selon l'ancienneté

Moins de 5 ans
De 10 14 an
15 ans et plus
15 ans et plus
8. Vevillez répartir vos employés selon le niveau de qualification des emplois
mitist a tels sue estionnaires, cadres et professionnels
Emplois qualifís ou semi qualifes: requérant une formation technique de niveau Emplois qualifiés ou semi qualifís : requerant une formation technique de
collégial (DEC technique) ou professionnelle de niveau secondaire (DEP) collégial (DEC technique) ou professionnelle de niveau secondair (DEP) général (DES) ou aucun diplồme Le total doit donner $100 \%$
Les prochaines questions portent sur les PRÉVISIONS EN MATIÈRE D'EMPLOIS dans votre entreprise.
9. Combien d'emplolis estimez-vous que vous aurezà combler au cours de chacune des trois années à venir? Cela inclut la création de nouveaux emploís, incluant ceux à temps partiel et saisonniers, zinsi que les remplacements pour départ àla retraite

| Nombre d'emplois à combler [bornes de 1 1 à 998$]$ <br> NSP/refus | a) <br> 2023 | b) <br> 2024 | c) <br> 2025 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | 99 |  |  |

10. Veuillez répartir ce nombre d'emplois à combler au cours de chacune des trois années à venir selon Ie niveau de qualification des emplois.

|  | $\underset{\text { a }}{\substack{\text { a) }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { b) } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{c}) \\ 2025 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emplois très qualifíés : requérant généralement une formation universitaire, tels que gestionnaires, cadres et professionnels |  | ——\% | _ \% |
| Emplois qualifiés ou semi qualifiés : requérant une formation technique de niveau collégial (DEC technique) ou professionnelle de niveau secondaire (DEP) | - \% | -\% | -\% |
| Emplois peu ou non qualifíés : requérant un diplôme d'études secondaires général (DES) ou aucun diplôme | -\% | -\% | -\% |
| TOTAL Le total doit donner 100\% | \% | \% | -\% |
| NSP/refus | 99 | 99 | 99 |


11. Au cours des trois prochaines années, et face aux changements qu'amène la rareté de main d'oevvre, envissogerier-vous réaliser les actions suivantes?

| Oui | Non | NSP/ <br> refus <br> 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 99 |

Les prochaines questions portent sur la FoRMATION, en considérant vos employés actuels et vos besoins retraite).
12. Quelles approches de formation conviendraient le mieux a vorte entreprise? Veuillez indiquer
sappliquent. Mentions mutiples
Formation en ligne (à distance, avec ordinateur)
Apprentissoge en milieu de travil (AMT- formation offerte directement
dans le milieu de travaill
vai-fétudes laternance entre formation à récole et mise en pratique dans le milieu de travail)
Reconnaissance des acquis et des compétences (pour lest travailleurs expérimentés sans diplôme ou les immigrants)
Aucune de ces réponses

## Questionnaire (3/6)

13. Dans quels domaines seraitili bénéfque que vos employés reçivent de la formation? Veuillez

Formations en lien avec la gestion: administration, gestion d'entreprise, munication arketing et mise en marché, gestion des ressources humaines, etc
anufacturier, construction, entreposage, transport, etc.), par exemple: lectromécanique, soudage, ligne de production, assemblage, instrumentation automatisation, opération de machinerie, etc.
-mations en lien avec des métiers et professions du secterur tourisme, hôtellerie et restauration frmations en lien avec des métiers et professions des secteurs de las santé et de l 'éducation mise à jour de site webe, commercce êlectronique, utilisation des techpnologeies numériques nouveaux logiciels, le lo loud, etc
Bureautique, secretariat, comptablilite
Formations linguistiques (français, anglais,
Environnement tet développement durable
Formation générale de base en entrepise (numératie, littératie, résolution de problèmes, etc.) Santé et sécurité au travail
Autres domaines, vevillez préc
Aucune formation

## Les prochaines questions portent Sur les ENJEUX ET DEEFS auxquels fera face votre entreprise.

14. Au cours des 3 prochaines années, les enjeux et défis suivants seccoptiks très, assez, peu ou pas du tout importants dans votre entreprise?
a) Recrutement de main-doewure
b) Rétention de main-d'ceuvre
c) Relève des postes de direction
d) Relève du ou des propriétaires
e) Développement des compétences de vos employés

99
f) Changements des comportements de la main-d'ceurre, $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { par exemple des travilleurs qui quittent un secteur } & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 99\end{array}$
dactivite pour se recclier alleurs
g) Implantation des technologies numériques $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { processus de gestion tels que approvisionnements, } & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 99\end{array}$ fabrication des produits, prestation des services, sevice à la clientèle, communication interne, marketing, etc.
h) Approvisionnements en matières premières,

| Très <br> important | Assez <br> important | Peu <br> important | Pas du <br> tout <br> important | NSP/ <br> refus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 99 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 99 |

99
() $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exigences des fournisseurs (delais, quantites minimales } \\ & \text { d'achat, conditions de paiements) }\end{aligned}$
1
d'achat, conditions de paiements)
i) Innovation dans les produits, les procédés, les sevices ou 1
k) Développement des marchés à l'extérieur de votre région
$4 \quad 9$
Concurrence des entreprises 99
99
m) Accroissement du commerce ou de l'achat en ligne 99 99 consommateurs, par exemple achats ou réservations en dans les réseaux sociaux
o) Réduction ou réorganisation de vos heures de $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { fonctionement ou d'ouverture faute de personnel } & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 9\end{array}$ disponible
D) cybersécurite

99
99
a) Réduction de votre empreinte environnementale $\quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 30$
f) Amélioration de l'image / de l'attractivité de votre $\quad 1 \begin{array}{llllll} & \\ \text { entreprise }\end{array}$

## Questionnaire (4/6)

15. Quels principuux types de besoins, đdaide ou drassistance-conseil seraient nécessaires pour aider Mentions multiples Mentions mutiples
Diagnostic d'entreprise
Plan de formation ou de perfectionnement des dirigeants/des cadres
Pland Plan de formation ou perfectionnement des employés
Partage de main-d doewrre avec d'autres entreprises, notamment pour des postes à temps partiel ou saisonniers
des ressources humaines du client/consommateur, concurrence, démorraphie stveres de vie e, Aide à limplantation d'une politique gestion des hessources humines. Aide à l'implantation des technologies numériques
Aide à l'implantation du commerce en ligne
Aide alinnovation
Aide au développement des marchés extérieurs à votre région Alde a la promotion de límage de votre entreprise (marketing employeur) Leshanges/réseautage avec d'autres entreprises pour connaitre les actions quélles ont posées
Autres, préciser
prochaines questions portent sur la CYBERSÉCURITÉ
16. Êtes-vous au courant de Pentrée en vigueur, en septembre 2023 , de la loi 25 modernisant des dispositions légisiatives en matière de protection des renseignements personnels? Cette loi fera en
sorte que les entreprises devront se doter doune politique en matiere de protection des données et sorte que les entree
de cybersécurite
Oui
$\begin{array}{ll}\begin{array}{ll}\text { Non } \\ \text { NSP/refus }\end{array} & 2 \\ 99\end{array}$
17. Quelle est votre évaluation du risque que votre entreprise fasse 'objejt doincidents de sécurité informatique, par exemple intrusions, piratage, rancons, etc.? Ce is isque est.
rès important
Assez important
Peu important
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Pas dut tout important } & { }^{2} \\ \text { NSP/refus }\end{array}$
18. Au cours des trois dernières années, votre entrepise a.telle subi des incidents de sécurité informatique (intrusions, piratage, rancons, etc)?
Oui

| Non | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| NSP/refus | ${ }_{9}$ |
| 9 |  |

Poser Q19 si 1 à la Q18
19. Quels ont été
Quels ont êté les principaux impacts de ces incidents de sécurité informatique? Vous pouve mentionner tout ce qui s‘applique. si téléphonique, lire les choix. Mentions muttiples
Arrêt des opérations
Pertes de reveruus
Priement de ranson
Pald
Vols de donnés confidentielles Autres, précise
NSP/refus 1
2
3
4
4
97
99
20. Au cours des trois derrières années, votre entreprise a-telle mis en place des mesures visant à renforcer la sécurité de ses systèmes dinformation et de gestion?
Oui
Non
NSP/refus
$\begin{array}{ll} & 2 \\ 99\end{array}$
Poser Q21A si 2 ou 99 à la Q20
Au cours de la prochane année votre entreprise prévoit-elle mettre en place des mesures visant renforcer la sécurité de ses systèmes divinformation et de gestion?
Oui
Non
NSP/refus
Poser Q218 si 1 à la O20
21B. Au cours de la prochaine année, votre entreprise prévoit-elle renforcer davantage ses mesures de sécurité des systèmes d'ínformation et de gestion?
Oul
Non
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Non } & { }_{2}^{2} \\ \text { NSP/refus } & { }_{9}\end{array}$
Poser Q22 si 1 à la Q21A OU si 1 à la Q Q21B
Quelles mesures aver-vous mis en place ou prévover-vous mettre en place pour renforcer la securite de vos systemes dílifformation et de gestion? Vous pouvez mentionner tout ce quil Gestionnaire de mot de passe
Double identification des utilisateurs
Copies de sécurité
Sensibilisation et formation des emplovés Autres, precis
NSP/refus
et de gestion? Vous powvez mentionner tout ce 1
2 1
3
4
97
99

## Questionnaire (5/6)

Les prochaines questions portent sur le développement durable et la gestion environnementale.
23. Selon vous, les dimensions suivantes sont-elles incluses dans le concept de développement durable? Rotation aléatoire des énoncés

La dimensione économique $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Oui } & \text { Non } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Nsp/ } \\ \text { refus }\end{array} \\ 1 & 2 & 99 \\ 1 & 2 & 99 \\ 1 & 2 & 99\end{array}$
c) La dimension environnementale

Au cours des trois dermières années, votre entreprise a-telle pris des engagements en matière de réduction de son empreinte environnementale? sitéléphonique, lire les choix
Oui, ces engagements comportent des cibles chiffrées
Oui, ces engagements comportent des cibles chi
Oui, mais ces engagements ne sont pas chiffrés
Non
NSP/refus
Poser Q25s si 3 ours à la Q24
25 . Au cours des deux prochaines années, votre entreprise a-telle líntention de prendre des enggements en matière de réduction de son empreinte environnementale
Oui
Non
NSP/refus
99
26. Vos clients exigent-ils de votre entreprise un engagement ou des actions en matiere de réduction Oui $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Non } & { }^{2} \\ \text { NSP/refus } & 99\end{array}$
27. Quelle importance votre entreprise accorde-telle aux aspects suivants, dans roptique de la reduction de son empreinte environnementale? Veuiller répondre sur une échelle de 1 à 10 où 1 signifie que cet aspect 'rest pos du tout important et 10 que cet aspect est extrêmement importont.
Rotation alétoire des énoncés
téléphonique, lire les parenthèses
a) Efficacité énergétique (chauffage,
climatisation, isolation, éclariage)
Gestion des matières résiduel
Pas du tout
c) Gestion de leau (réduction de la

Consommation, gestion des eaux
d) Transports (véhicules moins
ènergiveres, hyorides of étectriques,
e) Bureau sans papier ou zéro papier
28. Au cours des trois prochaines années, parmi les 5 aspects mentionnés, quelles sont les deux priorités daction de votre entreprise visant la réduction de son empreinte environnementale? Même rotation aléátoire qưen 026 . Maximum 2 choix possibles
Efficacité énergétique
Gestion des matières résiduelles
Gestion de 'ea
Bureau sans pap
NSP/refus
Poser les Q29 à 34 si 1 (propriétaire) ì la Q1d
Les dernieres questions portent sur Ia RELĖVE DE LA DIRECTION DE L'ENTREPRISE
Voici quelques questions sur votre profil comme propriétaire d'entreprise.
29. Vous êtes

Un homme
Une femm
30. Vous avez

Moins de 35 ans
Enntre 35 et 44 ans Entre 35 et 44 ans
Entre 45 et 54 ans
net
and Entre 55 et 64 ans 65 ans ou plus
31. Quel est le dernier niveau de scolarité que vous aver complété?

Collégial
Universitait
32. Vous êtes propriétaire đ'entreprise depuis:

Moins de 5 ans
Moins de 5
5 à 9 ans
and
$10 \pm 14$ ans
15 a 19 ans
20 ans et plus
33. Prévoyez-vous quitter votre position de propriétaire de lentreprise au cours des 5 prochaines année
Oui
Non
Non
Vous ne savez pas

## Questionnaire (6/6)

A welle éape an êteswoss rendulansla lunifiction de votre relève?
Vous n'avez pas amorcé de eréfexion à ce sujet
Vous avez entrepris un processus pourt trouver une relève
Vous êtes avancé dans le processus pour trowver une relève 4 Vous etes avancé dans le processus
Vous avez déjia rouvé une releve
Nous vous remercions d'avoir répondu à ce sondage


[^0]:    Don't know answers (7\%) have been excluded from the calculations.

[^1]:    Base : All respondents ( $n=233$ ).

[^2]:    Q6. Please provide a breakdown of your employees according to their age.

[^3]:    Q7. Please provide a percentage breakdown of employees according to seniority

[^4]:    15+ YRS - highest proportion: Primary sector (28.1\%) Tertiary sector, others(26.1\%)
    1 to 4 employees (25.7\%)
    Business owner (24.5\%)
    1 to 4 employees (25.7\%)
    Business owner (24.5\%)

[^5]:    LOW-SKILLED/UNSKILLED - highest proportion: - Primary sector (64.7\%)

    -     - Accommodation, restaurants and tourism (71.6\%)
    Retailing ( $70.9 \%$ )
    - As many men as women (63.6\%)
    Business owner (58.0\%)

[^6]:    Q8. Please provide a percentage breakdown of your job qualification levels.

[^7]:    (The figures in brackets were taken from the 2018 survey.)

[^8]:    Q16. Are you aware that Law 25 will come into force in September 2023 to modernize legislative provisions as regards the protection of personal information? This will require that businesses will have to come up with a policy on the protection of personal information and cybersecurity.

[^9]:    Q18. In the past three years, has your business been victimized by computer security incidents (intrusions, hacking, ransom, etc.)? Base: All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=233$ ).

[^10]:    Q20. In the past three years, has your company implemented measures to strengthen the security of its information and management systems? Base: All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=233$ ).
    Q21A. In the next year, does your company plan on implementing measures to strengthen the security of its information and management systems?
    Base: Respondents who did not answer yes to Q20 ( $n=113$ ).
    Q21B. In the next year, does your company plan on implementing measures to further strengthen the security of its information and management systems?

[^11]:    Q22. What measures did you implement or plan on implementing to strengthen the security of your information and management systems? (Several possible options)

[^12]:    Q24. In the past three years, has your business made any environmental footprint reduction commitments? Base: All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=233$ ). Q25. In the next two years, does your business intend to commit to reducing its environmental footprint?

